

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,975		03/18/2002	Jorge Cuellar	1454.1203	5793
21171	7590	08/12/2004	EXAMINER		NER
STAAS & I	HALSEY	/ LLP	RAMPURIA, SHARAD K		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT		·	2683		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	Ь

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/009,975	CUELLAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharad Rampuria	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep i. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	This action is non-final.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .·				

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because "Fig.4 should be Prior Art". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1-11 are cancelled.

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Dent et al. [US 5282250] (hereinafter Dent)

12. Regarding claim 12, Dent disclose A method for checking the authenticity of a first communication subscriber in a communications network (Abstract), comprising: forming a first fault information (block 10; Col.3; 8-16) item in the first communication subscriber using a fault detection data item of the first communication subscriber and an information item relating to a random data item which has been transmitted to the first communication subscriber by a second communication subscriber in the communications network; (Col.2; 47-62)

transmitting the first fault information to the second communication subscriber by the first communication subscriber, (Col.2; 59-62)

forming a second fault information (block 11; Col.3; 16-22) item in the second communication subscriber using a fault detection data item of the second communication subscriber and the information item relating to the random data item; (Col.2; 63-66)

Art Unit: 2683

checking the authenticity of the first communication subscriber in the second communication subscriber using the first fault information item and the second fault information item. (Col.3; 4-22)

- 13. Regarding claim 13, Dent disclose The method as claimed in claim 12, wherein a difference is determined between the fault detection data item (block 10; Col.3; 16-22) of the first communication subscriber and the fault detection data item (block 11; Col.3; 16-22) of the second communication subscriber. (block 12; Col.3; 16-22)
- 15. Regarding claim 15, Dent disclose The method as claimed in claim 12, wherein the first and second communication subscribers are part of a mobile phone system. (Col.1; 11-16, Col.2; 27-32, & Col.3; 46-51)
- 16. Regarding claim 16, Dent disclose The method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the first and second communication subscribers are part of a mobile phone system. (Col.1; 11-16, Col.2; 27-32, & Col.3; 46-51)
- 17. Regarding claim 17, Dent disclose The method as claimed in claim 14, wherein the first and second communication subscribers are part of a mobile phone system. (Col.1; 11-16, Col.2; 27-32, & Col.3; 46-51)

Art Unit: 2683

18. Regarding claim 18, Dent disclose A system for checking authenticity in a communications network (Abstract), comprising:

a first communication subscriber to form a first fault information using a fault detection data item (block 10; Col.3; 16-22) of the first communication subscriber and an information item relating to a random data item which has been transmitted to the first communication subscriber, and to transmit the first fault information; (Col.2; 47-58) a second communication subscriber to transmit the information relating to the random data item to the first communication subscriber, to receive the first fault information from the first communication subscriber, (Col.2; 59-62) to form a second fault information using a fault detection data item (block 11; Col.3; 16-22) of the second communication subscriber and the information relating to the random data item, (Col.2; 63-66) and to check the authenticity of the first communication subscriber using the first fault information and the second fault information. (Col.3; 4-22)

- 19. Regarding claim 19, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 18, wherein the first communication subscriber is a service provider (BS; Fig.1) and the second communication subscriber is a service user (MS1-MSn; Fig.1) in the communications network. (Col.2; 47-58)
- 20. Regarding claim 20, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 19, wherein the service provider is a mobile phone operator and the service user is a mobile phone. (Col.2; 47-58)

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent in view of Yahagi [US 5642401] (hereinafter Yahagi).

14. Regarding claim 14, Dent discloses all the particulars of the claim except the difference is limited. However, Oka teaches in an analogous art, that The method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the difference is limited. (based on authentication key input;

Art Unit: 2683

Col.4; 14-25) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the difference is limited in order to provide authentication, when mobile needed.

Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent in view of Oka [US 6091945] (hereinafter Oka).

- 21. Regarding claim 21, Dent discloses all the particulars of the claim except the fault detection data items are sequential numbers. However, Oka teaches in an analogous art, that The system as claimed in claim 18, wherein the fault detection data items are sequential numbers. (M-sequence; Col.11; 49-63 & numbers for the next stage...counter 121; Col.12; 44-65) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the fault detection data items are sequential numbers in order to provide data changing in sequential fashion.
- 22. Regarding claim 22, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 21, wherein the information relating to the random data item is a random number. (RAND; Col.2; 47-58)
- 23. Regarding claim 23, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 18, wherein the first and second communication subscribers are part of a mobile phone system. (Col.2; 47-58)

Art Unit: 2683

- 24. Regarding claim 24, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 21, wherein the first communication subscriber is a service provider (BS; Fig.1) and the second communication subscriber is a service user (MS1-MSn; Fig.1) in the communications network. (Col.2; 47-58)
- 25. Regarding claim 25, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the service provider is a mobile phone operator and the service user is a mobile phone.

 (Col.2; 47-58)
- 26. Regarding claim 26, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the first communication subscriber is a service provider (BS; Fig. 1) and the second communication subscriber is a service user (MS1-MSn; Fig. 1) in the communications network. (Col.2; 47-58)
- 27. Regarding claim 27, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 26, wherein the service provider is a mobile phone operator and the service user is a mobile phone. (Col.2; 47-58)
- 28. Regarding claim 28, Dent discloses all the particulars of the claim except the fault detection data items are sequential numbers. However, Oka teaches in an analogous art, that The system as claimed in claim 19, wherein the fault detection data items are sequential numbers. (M-sequence; Col.11; 49-63 & numbers for the next stage...counter 121; Col.12; 44-65) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/009,975

Art Unit: 2683

art at the time of invention to include the fault detection data items are sequential

numbers in order to provide data changing in sequential fashion.

29. Regarding claim 29, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 28, wherein the

information relating to the random data item is a random number. (RAND; Col.2; 47-58)

30. Regarding claim 30, Dent disclose The system as claimed in claim 29, wherein the

service provider is a mobile phone operator and the service user is a mobile phone.

(Col.2; 47-58)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-308-

4736. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

Sharad Rampuria August 7, 2004 WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600